











#### **Methods**

- Develop statistical study design that would allow a national assessment
- Collect fish and prepare composites according to EPA 2000 guidance.
- Analyze fish filets using EPA method 1631e (modified for tissue).
- Report mean mercury concentration based on composite analysis by site.
- Assign meal consumption advice using 2007 Hg concentration data and standard inputs as defined in EPA's guidance manual.
- Compare meal advice derived from 2007 data to state-issued advice in place at each site.
- On a site-by-site basis, review historical fish-tissue data and application of state methodology to assess meal consumption advice.

# **Project milestones** Field Collections Complete: November 2007 Mercury Analysis Complete: March 2008 Draft Study Report Submitted to EPA: July 2008 Final Draft Manuscript Submitted to EPA: September 2009







- Samples obtained from 95 locations
- Results reported as arithmetic mean of 3 composite samples of the target species at each site
- Highest mercury concentration (mean per water body): 1.40
  ppm in large mouth bass from South Carolina
- Lowest mercury concentration (mean per water body): 0.019 in common carp from Minnesota
- Average mercury concentration (all sites/species 2007): 0.386
  ppm

Frame Sample (ppm) of Conc. (ppm)
1 581 27 0.142 0.080 0.019 0.29
2 128 21 0.327 0.161 0.091 0.65
3 35 6 0.521 0.275 0.219 1.04
4 29 5 0.533 0.415 0.167 1.12
5 132 22 0.647 0.299 0.147 1.40









## Meal consumption advice: 2007 collection data

- Using 2007 Hg data, we derived meal consumption advice for each site and species using EPA guidance methodology and standard inputs.
- We compared the resulting meal advice to the stateissued advice in place at each of the sites.
- Of the 95 sites studied, we found agreement in meal consumption advice at 38 sites (42%, weighted), i.e., advice would change at 58% (weighted) of sites using current data and EPA methodology and standard inputs.



#### Meal consumption advice: historical data

 Using the <u>historical</u> data means, we derived meal consumption advice for each site and species using EPA guidance methodology and standard inputs.

We compared this consumption advice to the stateissued advice at each of the sites.

Of the 91 sites where comparisons are possible, we found agreement at 52 sites (57%), i.e., *more of the variation in advice is due to methods and inputs than changes in HG concentrations.* 





- Results of this study demonstrate that a combination of new data and application of EPA's risk-based approach would lead to a change in existing meal consumption advice at 58% of the historic mercury advisory sites across the United States.
- While many states (14 of the 18 in this study) use EPA methodology in the assessment of meal advice, we found that the low comparability is primarily due to variability in input parameters (esp oral reference dose) between state programs.
  - More recent data collections using uniform methodologies are needed to adequately reflect current conditions in recreationallyimportant water bodies.
- Applying a standard methodology and using standard input variables such as those provided in EPA's guidance manual would substantially increase comparability among state programs and help to ensure appropriate fish consumption advice and protection of public health among recreational and subsistence fish consumers.



### Report on Mercury Findings: EPA's National Lake Fish Tissue Survey

## NEWMOA- Chicago

November 17, 2009 John Wathen, U.S. EPA for Leanne Stahl Office of Water/ Office of Science & Technology







#### **Study Objective**

The objective of the National Lake Fish Tissue Study was to estimate the national distribution of the mean levels of selected persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic chemical residues in fish tissue from lakes and reservoirs in the contiguous United States.

#### Study results:

- Provide the first national estimates of median concentrations of PBT chemicals in fish tissue.
- Define a national baseline for assessing progress of pollution control activities.



	2008 Fish Advisories					
СНЕ	MICAL	NO. OF ADVISORIES	LAKE ACRES UNDER ADVISORY			
Mercu	iry	3,361	16,808,032			
PCBs		1,025	6,049,506			
Dioxir	IS	123	35,400			
DDT		76	876,520			
Chlore	lane	67	842,913			









ACTIVITY	DATE	
Produce study design document	June 1999	
Complete sample collection	November 2003	
Distribute final year of analytical data	April 2005	
Release all raw data to the public	October 2005	
Publication of Journal Article (EM&A)	December 2008	
Release of Final EPA Report	November 2009	





CHEMICAL	PREDATORS	BOTTOM DWELLERS				
Mercury	100%	100%				
PCBs	100%	100%				
Dioxins/furans	81%	99%				
Total DDT	78%	98%				
Chlordane	20%	50%				

Tissue Concentrations								
Chemicals	Predato	rs (ppb)	Bottom Dwellers (ppb)					
enermouls	Median	Maximum	Median	Maximum				
Mercury	285	6605	69	596				
PCBs	2	705	14	1266				
Dioxins/furans	6 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	8 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4 x 10⁻⁴	2.4 x 10 <sup>-</sup> 2				
DDT	1.5	1481	13	1761				
Chlordane	<mdl< td=""><td>100</td><td>2</td><td>378</td></mdl<>	100	2	378				





## Summary of Mercury Results

 Mercury was detected (> 2 ng/g (ppb)) in 100% of the composite samples collected for this study

• Concentrations in predators ranged from 23 ppb to a maximum of 6,605 ppb

• The mean mercury concentration was 352 ppb for predators and 96 ppb for bottom dwellers.

Fillets of predators in 48.8% of the sampled population of lakes had tissue concentrations that exceeded the 300 ppb human health screening value for mercury

♦ This population represents a total of 36,422 lakes nationwide.



### **Future Direction**

EPA Pilot Study of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) in Fish Tissue

<sup>+</sup>Participate in the Large Rivers Survey being led by the Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds

**4**-925 sample locations for Hg, persistent organics

**\*\***~150 urban waters sample locations for PPCPs and PFCs

#Participate in next National Lakes Assessment

